

To: Way, Steven[way.steven@epa.gov]
From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Sent: Fri 9/4/2015 2:08:38 PM
Subject: DISREGARD request - Laura Williams responded FW: ACTION: GKM: Need your review of HQ responses to Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool

Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer

Office of Communications and Public Involvement

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, Colorado 80202

Office 303.312.6077

Cell 303.501.4027

From: Williams, Laura
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2015 8:06 AM
To: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Ostrander, David
Subject: Re: ACTION: GKM: Need your review of HQ responses to Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Lisa,

A decision to do water treatment through the winter has not yet been made, so I'd use the language from the response to Question 2 and 3 as indicated in red below. Thanks Lisa! - lw

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa

Sent: Friday, September 4, 2015 7:47 AM

To: Ostrander, David; Williams, Laura

Subject: ACTION: GKM: Need your review of HQ responses to Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Let me know if there is someone else I should ask for review.

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool

Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer

Office of Communications and Public Involvement

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, Colorado 80202

Office 303.312.6077

Cell 303.501.4027

From: Dreyfus, Melissa G.

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2015 6:28 AM

To: Mylott, Richard; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa

Cc: Stalcup, Dana; Fitz-James, Schatzi; Mahmud, Shahid; Zaragoza, Larry; Jennings, Robert; Flaks, Art

Subject: FW: Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Importance: High

Hi Richard and Lisa,

We (OSRTI) received the following media inquiry through the EOC PIO and are working to provide answers. We would like R8 input/review into our proposed responses, below. It appears from the email chain that the JIC and Area Command weighed in initially. We will work with OSWER and EOC PIO to respond once we hear back from R8.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Melissa

Regarding the commercial water treatment facility to be installed ahead of winter

Q1: Where would this be placed?

A1: The Agency is evaluating treatment options. If a water treatment facility is decided upon, it will likely be placed in Gladstone, Colorado.

Q2: How much would the apparatus cost?

A2: The Agency is evaluating treatment options, but our preliminary estimate is that the treatment options under consideration would likely cost over \$1 million.

Q3: Where will the money for this plant come from?

A3: The Agency is evaluating treatment options. Once a course of action is decided on, the funds will likely come from the Superfund appropriation.

Q4: Will the plant be permanent or temporary?

A4: This will likely be a temporary plant.

Melissa Greer Dreyfus

Environmental Health Scientist

Community Involvement and Program Initiatives Branch
Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: 703-603-8792

email: dreyfus.melissa@epa.gov

From: Ortiz, Julia **On Behalf Of** EOC Public Information
Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2015 4:04 PM
To: EOC Solid Waste; Keller, Melanie; Dreyfus, Melissa G.; Wells, Suzanne; Mahmud, Shahid
Subject: Fw: Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Good afternoon OSWER Team,

Below is a media inquiry and draft responses (in blue below) prepared by the JIC with input from Area Command. It's in regards to the commercial water treatment facility to be installed ahead of winter. Please review and add input as necessary. Due date: unspecified, reporter has been requesting for a few weeks now.

Thank you.

- Where would this be placed? Gladstone

- How much would the apparatus cost? The treatment options are still being evaluated, but our preliminary estimate is approximately \$2 million.

- Where will the money for this plant come from? Superfund

- Will the plant be permanent or temporary? Temporary

--